

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/809,794	03/26/2004	Takayuki Kobayashi	071469-0103	7137
22428 75	90 10/30/2006		EXAMINER	
FOLEY AND LARDNER LLP			EASHOO, MARK	
SUITE 500 3000 K STREE	TNW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON	N, DC 20007		1732	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
			DATE MAILED: 10/30/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applicati	on No	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	10/809,79		KOBAYASHI, TAKAYUKI			
omos Aousii Summary	Examine		Art Unit			
The MAILING DATE of this communication	Mark Eashoo, P		1732			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF TH CFR 1.136(a). In no evi ion. period will apply and w statute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNICATIO ent, however, may a reply be ti ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDON	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status			•			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	11 August 2004	l.				
3) Since this application is in condition for a	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice ur	nder <i>Ex parte Qu</i>	ayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> are subject to restriction an	nd/or election red	quirement.				
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by t	he Examiner. No	ote the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	reign priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).			
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	·•	,				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	ı (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94	8)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate			
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date		5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application			
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office		-,				
PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06) Off	ice Action Summa	ry Pa	art of Paper No./Mail Date 20061026			

Application/Control Number: 10/809,794

Art Unit: 1732

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-7, drawn to a extrusion die, classified in class 425, subclass 381.
- II. Claims 8-12, drawn to a method of controlling an extrusion die, classified in class 264, subclass 40.1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions of groups II and I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process such as one that does not conduct a controlled sequence of changes but merely forms a product with only preset conditions (eg. where the controls are used to change products and not changed during operation).

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

## Correspondence

Art Unit: 1732

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Eashoo, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (571) 272-1197. The examiner can normally be reached on 7am-3pm EST, Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Johnson can be reached on (571) 272-1176. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Mark Eashoo, Ph.D.

Primary Examiner

me 26 October 2006